

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,257	10/688,257 10/16/2003		Tanya Estrin	OB011TE-1	4568
423	7590	05/04/2005	EXAMINER		INER
HENKEL			RABAGO, I	RABAGO, ROBERTO	
THE TRIAL 2200 RENA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GULPH MILLS, PA 19406				1713	
·				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/688,257	ESTRIN, TANYA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roberto Rábago	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
obs the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
6 m						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/04</u> . S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/688,257

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The scope of the claims cannot be determined because the claims fail to indicate the basis of the polymer molecular weight (i.e., number-average, weight-average, etc.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 1404291.

The reference shows in Example 5 the product of polyisoprene functionalized with maleic anhydride, hydrolyzed in the presence of aqueous hydrochloric acid, and

Application/Control Number: 10/688,257

Art Unit: 1713

vulcanized in the presence of sulfur. Although the reference has not measured the melting temperatures or the molecular weight of the product or the polymer backbone, the reference example appears to be within the claimed ranges because applicants have claimed broad ranges of conventional values. The burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that the cited reference example is not within the claimed ranges.

5. Claims 1-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 1495457.

The reference shows in Examples 2-4 the product of polybutadiene functionalized with maleic anhydride, hydrolyzed in the presence of triethylamine, and blended with polybutadiene and phenolic resin. Although the reference has not measured the melting temperature, the reference example appears to be within the claimed range because applicants have claimed a broad range of conventional values. The burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that the cited reference example is not within the claimed range.

6. Claims 1, 6-8, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berrier et al. (US 5,407,784).

The reference shows in the abstract, Figure 1 and Example 1 the product of maleated butadiene hydrolyzed with water. Although the reference has not measured the melting temperatures, the reference example appears to be within the claimed range because applicants have claimed a broad range of conventional values. The

Application/Control Number: 10/688,257

Art Unit: 1713

burden of proof is shifted to applicant to show that the cited reference example is not within the claimed ranges.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1404291.

The parent claims are discussed with respect to this reference above. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine any of the disclosed products, including that of Example 5, with an elastomer because the reference has suggested same at page 3, col. 1, lines 1-7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR April 29, 2005